ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Comparative in vivo biodistribution of cells labelled with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ or [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS using PET

Ida Friberger^{1*}, Joachim N. Nilsson^{2,3}, Li Lu¹, Jonathan Siikanen^{2,4}, Oscar Ardenfors², Stefan Milton^{1,4}, Erik Samén^{4,5}, Jeroen A. C. M. Goos^{1,4,5}, Mattias Carlsten^{6,7}, Staffan Holmin^{1,8} and Thuy A. Tran^{1,4,5}

Abstract

Background In vivo monitoring of cell biodistribution using positron emission tomography (PET) provides a quantitative non-invasive method to further optimize cell therapies and related new developments in the field. Our group has earlier optimized and evaluated the in vitro properties of two radiotracers, [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS, for the radiolabelling of different cell types. Here, we performed a microPET study to assess the in vivo biodistribution of cells in rats using these two radiotracers. Human decidual stromal cells (hDSC) and rat macrophages (rMac) were radiolabelled with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ or [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS. Rats were intravenously injected with radiolabelled cells, and the in vivo biodistribution was monitored with microPET/CT imaging for up to day 7. Organ uptake was evaluated and presented as a percentage of injected activity per gram tissue (%IA/g) and total absorbed organ doses (mSv/MBq).

Results The biodistribution in vivo showed an immediate uptake in the lungs. Thereafter, [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells migrated to the liver, while the signal from [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells lingered in the lungs. The differences in the in vivo behaviour for the same cell type appeared related to the radiotracer labelling. After 24 h, [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells had over 70% higher liver uptake for both hDSC and rMac compared to [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells, whereas [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells showed over 60% higher uptake in the lungs compared to [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells. This difference in both lung and liver uptake continued until day 7. Dosimetry calculations showed a higher effective dose (mSv/MBq) for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS compared to [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, for both cell types. Although the bone uptake was higher for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells, the prolonged uptake in the lungs contributed to a significant crossfire to bone marrow resulting in a higher bone dose.

Conclusion The [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells suggest a prolonged accumulation in the lungs, while [89 Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ suggests quicker clearance of the lungs followed by accumulation in the liver. Accumulation of radiolabelled cells in the liver corresponds to other cell-tracking methods. Further studies are required to determine the actual location of the [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cell.

Keywords Cell tracking, ⁸⁹Zr, Oxine, Deferoxamine, PET, Dosimetry, Macrophages, DSC

*Correspondence: Ida Friberger

ida.friberger@ki.se

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Introduction

Based on insights from allogeneic stem cell transplantation and the practice of donor lymphocyte infusions, clinical trials show the anti-tumour potential of tumourinfiltrating lymphocytes and natural killer cells. More recently the success of CAR-T cells (Chimeric Antigen Receptors T cell) for haematological indications, the immune therapy space is currently exploring an array of different cellular immunotherapies for the treatment of cancer [1-3]. Similar approaches also hold promise for non-cancer indications such as autoimmune diseases [2]. However, as infused cells in contrast to non-living drugs in most cases have unknown in vivo distribution, the efficiency of cellular therapies cannot always be fully predicted. This is also true for side effects that are usually caused by the off-target accumulation of the infused cells in the healthy organs. One well-known example of this is graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) [4]. Consequently, to ensure effective treatment while minimizing complications from off-target toxicity is essential to develop reliable methods that can dynamically determine the in vivo biodistribution of the infused cells [5]. Long-term cell tracking with long-lived radionuclide-based tracers can provide the necessary information on cell behaviour and migration in vivo with real-time nuclear imaging [6]. A vast number of radiotracers have been investigated for long-term cell tracking in vivo. The clinically used Single-Photon Emission Computerized Tomography (SPECT) radiotracer [¹¹¹In]In-oxine (also denoted as [¹¹¹In]In-(oxinate)₃) is suboptimal due to the limited spatial resolution of SPECT in vivo and isotope leakage [7]. Current PET radiotracers are in many ways superior to SPECT regarding half-life, resolution and stability and might provide higher cellular retention. The isotope zirconium-89 (89Zr) is an attractive isotope within PET imaging and fulfils several parameters required for cell tracking. Two of the most common chelators developed for ⁸⁹Zr are oxine and DFO-NCS. The main difference between these two radiotracers is the cell labelling mechanism. The [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ passively diffuses over the cell membrane where the complex dissolves. The 89Zr binds to unspecific molecules inside the cell, primarily in the cytosol, cell membrane, nucleus, chromatin and cytoskeleton [8–10]. The [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS binds to any free amine available on molecules on the cell membrane surface. Today, [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ (also denoted as [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-oxine) is a well-evaluated radiotracer in preclinical studies and is currently in a first-in-human clinical study [9, 11–15]. However, some limitations regarding radioactive leakage during the first 24 h should be further evaluated [10, 16, 17]. Our group have recently optimized the synthesis and cell labelling of both $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ and [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS [17]. Both radiotracers were successfully synthesized with a radiochemical yield (RCY) of >95% and used to label different cell types with high labelling efficiency. This study aims to directly compare these two radiotracers in terms of in vivo biodistribution and preliminary dosimetry in rats.

Materials and methods

Cell preparations

Human decidual stromal cells (hDSC): The hDSC were generously provided by Dr Helen Kaipe, Karolinska Institutet. They were prepared as previously described [18, 19]. In short, hDSC were isolated from the human placenta, through a caesarean section on healthy donors after informed consent, according to legislation by the Swedish Institutional Ethical Review Board (Dnr: 2009/418-31/4, 2010/2061-32, Dnr: 2015/1848-31/2). The recovered placenta was washed, dissected and cultured until passage 3 or 4 and then gradually frozen. The hDSC were analysed and tested positive for cell-specific antigen expression [19]. Rat macrophages (rMac): Bone marrow-derived macrophages from rats (rMac) were collected in accordance with the ethics approval Dnr: 9328-2019 N138/14, as previously described by Weichenfeldt and Porse [20]. Briefly, the femurs were surgically removed, and the bone marrow (BM) was flushed out. The collected cell mixture was then suspended and cultured for 8 days in complete DMEM (including 20% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 1% streptomycin and 20 ng/ml rat macrophage colony-stimulating factor M-CSF (PeproTech)). The macrophages were detached, resuspended in PBS and analysed with FACS analysis (BD Biosciences or Merck Guava H12) with FACSCalibur software (FlowJo v10, BD Biosciences) as previously described [17, 20].

These two radiotracers are considered universal for all cell types. The purpose of using rMac and hDSC was to include two completely different cell types derived from both rats and humans since different cell types can have different migration patterns in vivo. To ensure that the radiotracers do not interfere with the cell behaviour, any cell-specific migration pattern should correlate regardless of which radiotracers are used.

Radiosynthesis and cell labelling with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS

⁸⁹Zr was purchased from PerkinElmer or produced inhouse with a cyclotron (PETtrace 800, GE Healthcare) with an ⁸⁹Y(p,n)⁸⁹Zr reaction as previously described (Additional file 1: Materials and methods) [17]. Synthesis of [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS with a radiochemical yield of over 95% was obtained according to our previous publication (Additional file 1: Materials and methods) [17]. Optimized protocols for radiolabelling with $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ and $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS were previously described (Additional file 1: materials and methods) [17].

In vitro viability and radioactive retention

The previous study presents an in vitro evaluation of the long-term (7 days) effects on cell viability and radioactive retention inflicted by radiolabelling of hDSC and rMac [17]. Previous studies have established plasma stability of compound binding [13, 21]. In this study, we evaluated the short-term effects on the viability and radioactive retention of radiolabelled rMac. Since previous data suggest that rMac are more sensitive, it was, therefore, suitable that further investigation of rMac can be performed in this study. Radiolabelling was performed in triplicates in which $1.5 \pm 1.5 \times 10^6$ cells were labelled with [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ (resulting in 1.3±0.12 MBq/10⁶ cells) and $1.1 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{6}$ cells were labelled with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS (resulting 1.3 ± 0.38 MBq/10⁶), as well as $1.3 \pm 0.23 \times 10^{6}$ unlabelled cells were used as controls. Radiolabelled and unlabelled rMac were cultured in complete DMEM; on days 0, 1, 2 and 4, the cells were measured for radioactive retention, counted and determined viability by Trypan blue staining.

In vivo studies

Animal handling and experimental procedures were conducted according to the guidelines of the Animal Welfare Board at the Karolinska Institute and were approved by the Stockholm Northern Regional Ethical Committee (5.2.18-10793/16, N4/15, 4043-22). Experiments were conducted and reported in compliance with the Animal Research: Reporting in-Vivo Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines. Animals were kept in groups of 2–3 in cages, never single-caging, in a humidity-controlled, thermoregulated facility with a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle and access to food and water ad libitum. Animals were euthanized through an overdose of anaesthesia followed by mechanical dislocation of the spine. For the imaging experiments, the animals were anaesthetized using an isoflurane/oxygen gas mixture (5% for induction, 1.5-2% for maintenance). The anaesthetic concentration was regulated using an E-Z anaesthesia vaporizer and blended with 6:4 air/O2 (Euthanex Corporation, PA). Body temperature and heart rate were monitored and kept stable by heating and regulated anaesthesia during the scan. A total of 22 male Sprague Dawley rats from Javier $(399 \pm 64 \text{ g})$ 10-12 weeks) were used, divided into 4 groups; (A) control with neutralized [89 Zr]Zr-(oxalate)₄ (7.2 MBq, n=1), to confirm previous reports [11, 12]. Group (B) controls with unbound radiotracer $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ (n=4) or $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS) (n=4) and received 5.2±0.96 MBq and 5.1 ± 1.1 MBg, respectively. Group (C) was injected with $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ radiolabelled hDSC (n=4) or rMac (n = 3) and received 3.3 ± 0.51 MBg ($2.5 \pm 0.91 \times 10^{6}$ viable cells) and 1.8 ± 0.49 MBq $(0.80 \pm 0.10 \times 10^6$ viable cells), respectively. Finally, group (D) with [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS radiolabelled hDSC (n=3) or rMac (n=3) and received 3.4 ± 1.5 MBq ($2.8 \pm 1.8 \times 10^6$ viable cells) and 2.3 ± 1.1 MBg ($1.5 \pm 0.92 \times 10^6$ viable cells), respectively. All injected samples were neutralized to pH 7.4 using 1 M sodium carbonate and administered by a slow 30-s intravenous (i.v.) injection through the tail vein of each rat under anaesthesia. Control experiments were performed with injections of unbound $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxalate)₄, [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS without cells. To prevent binding of unbound [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS to blood components, the NCS side chain was hydrolysed prior to injection by a 3-h incubation in PBS at pH 8.5. The first PET images were taken 1 min after injection (t = day 0) using the MicroPET Focus 120 scanner (CTI-Concorde Microsystems LLC, Knoxville, TN, USA). PET images were taken in pairs, 45 min each, first upper body and followed by the lower body, which was later merged to provide whole-body images. The imaging was then repeated at 1, 3 and 7 days after injection. PET data were acquired in three-dimensional (3-D) mode, and images were reconstructed by standard 2-D filtered back-projection using a ramp filter. PET data were processed using MicroPET Manager and evaluated using the Inveon Research Workplace (IRW) software (Siemens Medical Systems, Malvern, PA, USA). PET images were also evaluated using an in-house viewer software based on MATLAB by a second observer to validate organ regions-of-interest (ROI) placement [22, 23]. The organs of interest were the lungs, liver, spleen, kidneys, bone (femurs ink. knees) and the whole heart (representing the blood). PET images are decay corrected against the time of injection on day 0 and presented with a %IA/mL unit scale. Blood sampling was not obtainable due to ethical restrictions; the blood pool distribution was instead estimated from the heart ROI. The whole-body activity at time points days 1, 3 and 7 was calculated by the total detected activity in the animal from the PET images, except for the day 0 activity which was measured by the total activity injected.

Dosimetry

Estimates of absorbed doses to the lungs, liver, spleen, kidneys and bone were calculated from the PET data. The organs were either segmented in their entirety or using representative parts (knees were used to represent all bones). Using these data and the ex vivo-measured organ masses, the injected activity per gram of tissue [%IA/g] was estimated. If organ masses could not be measured ex vivo, organ masses were estimated from average

specific organ weights in the full rat cohort, scaled with the corresponding rat whole-body weight. Biodistribution data were analysed and calculated by two independent analysts for later comparison. The calculations on absorbed organs dose and whole-body effective dose are described in Additional file 1: Materials and methods. Data are presented as the mean value with a standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance for two-group single measurements was calculated using the Student's t test in Excel Office (Microsoft Professional Plus 2019). The rm-ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple corrections was used for groups with repeated measurement. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered as being statistically significant.

Results

Radiosynthesis and cell labelling

Synthesis of $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ and $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS was performed with a radiochemical yield (RCY) of > 95%. $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ and $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS stability and shelf-life after neutralization were determined in a previous study [17]. $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate) remained stable after neutralization to pH 7.4, while $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS risk hydrolysation hence has a short shelf-life.

Cell labelling with [89 Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ yielded a cell labelling efficiency (CLE) of 54±6.6%, 2.5±0.91 MBq/10⁶ (n=4) for hDSC and 70±13%, 0.8±0.1 MBq/10⁶ (n=3) for rMac. Cell count and viability measured with Trypan blue staining disclosed a live cell count of 84±5.6% (1.3–5×10⁶ cells) for hDSC and 75.0±8.3% (1.8–3.8×10⁶ cells) for rMac. The cell labelling with [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS provided a CLE of 67±9.6%, 2.8±1.8 MBq/10⁶ (n=3) for hDSC and 55±20%, 1.5±0.92 MBq/10⁶ (n=3) for rMac with a viability of 85±2.6% (1.2–2.7×10⁶ cells) and 83±3.4% (1.4–4.5×10⁶ cells) for hDSC and rMac, respectively.

Cell viability and short-term radioactive retention

The previous study showed cellular retention of [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ dropped during the first 24 h followed by a stabilized radioactive retention until day 7 [17]. With a radioactive cell dose of 1.3 ± 0.12 MBq/10⁶, [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled rMac showed a drop in radioactive retention with $-16 \pm 1.8\%$, $-31 \pm 1.9\%$ and $-34 \pm 1.2\%$ on days 1, 2 and 4, respectively (Fig. 1). Macrophages labelled with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS showed no significant difference in radioactive retention compared to the [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells (p=0.06). The radioactive retention of ⁸⁹Zr from [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells decreased with $-39 \pm 7.6\%$, $-48 \pm 7.6\%$ and $-53 \pm 7.5\%$ on days 1, 2 and 4, respectively. Our group has previously reported the radioactive cellular retention from both [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS



Fig. 1 Radioactive retention and proliferation of rMac after radiolabelling with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ or [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS. Rat macrophages (rMac) were radiolabelled in vitro and cultured for 4 days; cells were measured for viability and radioactive retention on days 0, 1, 2 and 4

labelled hDSC and rMac [17]. Unlabelled control rMac showed a steady increase in cell count with $+43\pm13\%$ on day 1, $+93\pm14\%$ on day 2 and $+106\pm20\%$ on day 4. The cell counts for the [89 Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells also increased over time with $+11\pm7.9\%$, $+84\pm4.9\%$ and $+99\pm12\%$ on days 1, 2 and 4, respectively, with no significant difference compared to unlabelled controls (p=0.07). Labelling with [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS, however, appeared to have a significant effect on cell proliferation, compared to unlabelled control cells (p=0.03). [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells showed no sign of proliferation; after 24 h, the cell count decreased with $-16\pm15\%$ and then remained relatively stable at $-3.7\pm12\%$ on day 2 and $-1.7\pm14\%$ on day 4.

PET imaging and biodistribution

Within the first hour after i.v. administration, PET images for both radiotracers and cell types showed an immediate accumulation in the lungs. This behaviour is typical for i.v. injected cells (Figs. 2, 3, 4). What differs between the radiotracers, regardless of what cell type was used, was the fraction that remains in the lungs during the first day as well as the uptake in the liver and spleen over time. Cells labelled with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ showed a rapid clearance from the lungs already on the first day, while the [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells seemed to linger in the lungs. The statistically significant difference between the radiotracers was calculated both over time and at each time point by T test and rm ANOVA (Additional files 2, 3, 4: Tables 1, 2 and 3).

Immediately after injection, the lung uptake of $[^{89}$ Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ was $15\pm6.4\%$ IA/g for hDSC and $30\pm4.3\%$ IA/g for rMac. Somewhat higher accumulation



Micro-PET images of cells labelled with [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)4.

Fig. 2 Representative microPET images from iv. transplanted cells labelled with [^{89}Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄. Healthy male rats were injected with either **a** hDSC or **b** rMac labelled with [^{89}Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄. Controls were injected with either **c** unbound neutralized [^{89}Zr]Zr-(oxalate)₄ (n = 1) or **d** unbound neutralized [^{89}Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄. PET images were divided into upper-body and lower-body scans to be later merged into whole-body images. The same colour intensity scale was used for both upper and lower images throughout time. PET images are decay corrected against the time of injection on day 0 and presented with a percentage of injected activity per mL (%IA/mL) scale

was observed for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS with $40 \pm 10\%$ IA/g for hDSC (p=0.011) and $35 \pm 14\%$ IA/g for rMac (p=0.33) (Additional files 5, 6: Tables 4 and 5). The retention in the lungs for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells decreased rapidly in the first 24 h, to $5.3 \pm 2.7\%$ IA/g for hDSC and $5.5 \pm 1.6\%$ IA/g for rMac, at day 1, whereas the [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS uptake in the lungs remained higher for both cell types, $24 \pm 0.61\%$ IA/g for hDSC (p=0.003) and $20 \pm 9.0\%$ IA/g for rMac (p=0.045) at day 1. From three days onwards, the uptake of [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled hDSC continued to clear from in the lungs,

4.5 ± 2.3%IA/g and 4.4 ± 2.3%IA/g on days 3 and 7, respectively. A significantly lower clearance of the lungs from [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled hDSC continued until day 7 (22 ± 12%IA/g at day 3 and 13 ± 7.6%IA/g at day 7) (p=0.030 and 0.074). A similar difference in clearance of the lungs could be observed from rMac labelled with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ (4.2 ± 1.2 and 3.6 ± 1.5%IA/g on days 3 and 7, respectively) compared to [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS (13 ± 5.7 and 7.7 ± 2.4%IA/g on days 3 and 7, respectively). As the signal cleared from the lung over time, it migrated towards the liver and spleen for both radiotracers (Fig. 4



Micro-PET images of cells labelled with [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS.

Fig. 3 Representative microPET images from iv. transplanted cells labelled with [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS. Healthy male rats were injected with either **a** hDSC or **b** rMac labelled with [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS. Controls were injected with either unbound neutralized **c** (89 Zr]Zr-(oxalate)₄ (n = 1) or **d** hydrolysed [89 Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS. PET images were divided into upper-body and lower-body scans to be later merged into whole-body images. The same colour intensity scale was used for both upper and lower images throughout time. PET images are decay corrected against the time of injection on day 0 and presented with a percentage of injected activity per mL (%IA/mL) scale

and Additional file 5: Table 4). [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled hDSC activity situated in the liver and spleen, with a liver uptake of 2.2 ± 0.96 and $2.0 \pm 0.68\%$ IA/g on days 1 and 7 and 1.7 ± 0.53 and $1.7 \pm 0.44\%$ IA/g in the spleen on days 1 and 7.

The difference between the tracers in the lung, liver and spleen was less apparent for the rMac labelled cells. $[^{89}\text{Zr}]\text{Zr}-(\text{oxinate})_4$ labelled rMac showed a liver uptake of 2.7 ± 0.83 and $2.6 \pm 0.77\%$ IA/g on days 3 and 7, respectively. The liver signal for $[^{89}\text{Zr}]\text{Zr}$ -DFO-NCS labelled rMac was 1.1 ± 0.88 and $1.1 \pm 0.61\%$ IA/g on days 3 and 7 (p=0.046 and 0.044), respectively. In the spleen, we detected a significant difference between the tracers for rMac on days 1, 3 and 7. The spleen signal from [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled rMac was 2.6±0.25%IA/g and 3.9±0.90%IA/g on days 1 and 7 and for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac was 0.20±0.12%IA/g and 0.11±0.08%IA/g at day 1 and 7 (p=0.042 and 0.024). The accumulation in the kidneys, bone and heart was consistently low for both radiotracers and cell types, except for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled rMac.

Α В [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ hDSC [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS hDSC 24 50 45 20 40 %IA/g 35 16 60 30 %IA/9 6 25 20 4 15 1,0 2 0,5 0 0.0 Lung Lung Liver Spleen Kidneys Bone Heart Liver Spleen Kidneys Bone Heart ■Day0 ■Day1 ■Day3 ■Day7 ■Day0 ■Day1 ■Day3 ■Day7 [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ rMac [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS rMac C D 40 50 45 35 40 30 35 8 00 30 %IA/g %IA/ 25 6 20 4 15 10 2 5 0 0 Spleen Kidneys Bone Heart Lung Liver Lung Liver Spleen Kidneys Bone Heart ■Day 0 ■Day 1 ■Day 3 ■Day 7 ■Day 0 ■Day 1 ■Day 3 ■Day 7 [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS Unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-Oxine Unbound F Е 2,0 14 1,8 12 1,5 10 8/VI% 1,0 0.8 %IA/g 8 6 0,8 4 0,5 2 0,3 0 0,0 Spleen Kidneys Bone Bone Lung Liver Heart Lung Liver Spleen Kidneys Heart ■Day0 ■Day1 ■Day3 ■Day7 ■Day0 ■Day1 ■Day3 □Day7

Biodistribution of radiolabelled hDSC and rMac

Fig. 4 Radioactive biodistribution was decay corrected against the time of injection on day 0 and presented as mean % of the injected activity per gram tissue and standard deviation (%IA/g±SD). Organ data are collected as the (ROI) from microPET imaging at time points day 0, 1, 3 and 7. Rats received i.v. injections of hDSC or rMac labelled with both radiotracers: **a** [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled hDSC, **b** [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled hDSC, **c** [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled rMac, **d** [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, **f** neutralized unbound [⁸⁰Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac, **e** neutralized u

Control rats injected with unconjugated free neutralized [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxalate)₄ showed a rapid uptake in the bone within minutes after injection, confirmed by previously published data (Figs. 2, 3) [11, 12]. The uptake in this compartment continued to increase until day 3, after which it appeared to reach saturation and remained unchanged on day 7. The control rats injected with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄, without cells, showed a rapid migration through the lungs towards the liver and spleen. The uptake in the liver appeared stable from day 0 to day 7 (3.7 ± 0.65 and $2.6 \pm 1.7\%$ IA/g on days 0 and 7), while a gradual increase was detected in the spleen (5.5 ± 2.5 and $6.5 \pm 3.7\%$ IA/g on days 0 and 7) (Additional file 6: Table 5). Uptake in the lungs, kidneys, bone and heart remained low, less than 1% IA/g, from day 0 up to day 7.

Controls that received hydrolysed [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS without cells showed an immediate uptake in the liver and kidney following a steady decrease over time $(0.95 \pm 0.14 \text{ and } 0.55 \pm 0.14\%$ IA/g for the liver, 0.93 ± 0.32 and $0.38 \pm 0.07\%/IA/g$ for kidneys on days 0 and 7, respectively). An opposite trend was discovered in spleen uptake which increased over time, measuring 0.63 ± 0.25 , 0.74 ± 0.25 and $1.0 \pm 0.42\%$ IA/g on days 0, 3 and 7. The majority of the [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS was quickly excreted through the urine. One-hour post-injection, 33%IA/g was measured in the bladder. Only a small amount of radioactivity could be detected in the lung, bone and heart compartments after the first day, <0.1%IA/g (Additional file 6: Table 5). There was a significant difference between [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS radiolabelled rMac and controls in the lungs, liver and spleen, whereas a significant difference was only detected in the lungs and spleen for the radiolabelled hDSC.

The decay-corrected whole-body radioactive retention in rats injected with [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells obtained on day 1 was $90 \pm 4.3\%$ for hDSC and $91 \pm 6.0\%$ for rMac. The day-1 retention was somewhat lower for [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled hDSC with 80±15% and for rMac 83±12% (Additional file 5: Table 4). At day 7 a significantly lower amount of radioactivity was left in the body for [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled hDSC. The radioactive body retention for [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells on day 7 was $74 \pm 7.9\%$ for hDSC and $80 \pm 7.9\%$ for rMac, while the retention of [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells was only $50 \pm 5.0\%$ (p=0.012) and $57 \pm 4.9\%$ (p=0.054) for hDSC and rMac, respectively. Hence, both cell lines labelled with [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS showed a lower total radioactive retention in the animal compared to [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells. The clearance of unbound [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ was slow, with still $61 \pm 12\%$ /IA bound on day 7. Unbound [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS showed considerably faster clearance with only $10 \pm 0.3\%$ /IA left on day 7.

Dosimetry results

The results indicate, although non-significant, an overall lower effective dose for $[{}^{89}\text{Zr}]\text{Zr-}(\text{oxinate})_4$ labelled cells compared to $[{}^{89}\text{Zr}]\text{Zr-DFO-NCS}$ (0.24 [0.13–0.35] mSv/MBq and 0.35 [0.23–0.52] mSv/MBq for hDSC, respectively (Table 1). A similar trend could be observed for the rMac labelled cells with an effective dose for $[{}^{89}\text{Zr}]$ Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells compared to $[{}^{89}\text{Zr}]\text{Zr-DFO-NCS}$ (0.17 [0.13–0.20]mSv/MBq and 0.33 [0.24–0.46]

Table 1 Absorbed doses (mGy/MBq) to organs and effective dose (mSv/MBq) per administered activity for i.v. administered hDSC and rMac labelled with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ or [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS in rats

Dosimetry: absorbed dose and effective dose from radiolabelled cells				
Organ	hDSC		rMac	
	[⁸⁹ Zr]Zr-(oxinate) ₄	[⁸⁹ Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS	[⁸⁹ Zr]Zr-(oxinate) ₄	[⁸⁹ Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS
Lungs p value	1.28 [0.43-2.62]	2.72 [1.40-4.39]	0.94 [0.71–1.23]	1.86 [0.80–3.0]
	0.18		0.23	
Liver p value	1.18 [1.11–1.35]	0.43 [0.39–0.50]	0.86 [0.51–1.39]	0.60 [0.49–0.78]
	**<0.001		0.41	
Spleen p value	1.38 [1.15–1.64]	0.53 [0.44–0.65]	1.37 [1.11–1.83]	0.83 [0.66–1.16]
	**0.002		0.13	
Kidneys <i>p</i> value	0.50 [00330.71]	0.32 [0.22-0.39]	0.42 [0.30-0.56]	0.41 [0.39–0.44]
	0.15		0.78	
Bone marrow <i>p</i> value	0.25 [0.12–0.37]	0.27 [0.17-0.40]	0.22 [0.22-0.23]	0.21 [0.14-0.30]
	0.81		0.82	
<i>Effective dose p</i> value	0.24 [0.13–0.35]	0.35 [0.23–0.52]	0.17 [0.13–0.20]	0.33 [0.24–0.46]
	0.27		0.08	

Values correspond to the arithmetic mean [range] of projected human doses. A statistically significant difference between [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS for the same cell type is marked as ** for $p \le 0.01$. A *p*-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant

mSv/MBq, respectively). The absorbed doses to lungs were lower for $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ labelled cells (1.28 [0.43-2.62] mGy/MBg in hDSC and 0.94 [0.71-1.23] in rMac) compared to [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells (2. 72 [1.40-4.39] mGy/MBg in hDSC and 1.86 [0.80-3.0] for rMac). A significant difference was observed in the liver dose from [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled hDSC (1.18 [1.11-1.35] mGy/MBq) compared to [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells (0.43 [0.39–0.50] mGy/MBq) (p = < 0.001). The same trend was observed for rMac labelled cells, yet not significant, 0.86 [0.51-1.39] mGy/MBq for [89Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled rMac and 0.60 [0.49-0.78] mGy/ MBq for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled rMac (Table 1). The spleen dosage was substantially higher for [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled compared to [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS for both cell types. However, due to the small size of the spleen, the dose has little effect on the overall effective dose nor does not cause any significant crossfire. The absorbed dose in kidneys and bone marrow shows comparable results between the radiotracers.

Discussion

Even though cell labelling with PET radiotracers is a promising technique for long-term cell tracking, there is always a risk of affecting the cells. When labelling cells, it is seldom all cells that become radiolabelled, so the difficulty is to assess whether the radiolabelled cells behave the same as the unlabelled cells. The risk of an extracellular labelling method such as [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS is that it could affect the cells' interaction with surrounding tissues, hence altering the behaviour and in vivo distribution of the labelled cells. There are few such risks with an intracellular labelling method like [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄; on the other hand, it cannot be excluded that the use of this method could interfere with intercellular mechanisms and alter the cells' functionality and expression. These risks have to be considered and evaluated individually for each cell type and radiotracer. The effects caused by radiolabelling on hDSC, rMac and PBMC with [89Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS were previously evaluated in vitro [17]. There it is stated that there was no significant decrease in viability, proliferation and radioactive retention for any of the [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cell lines 7 days post-labelling. The same results were seen for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled hDSC. Immune cells labelled with [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS did not show any significant decrease in proliferation or viability. The radioactive retention for [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled immune cells was significantly lower than cells labelled with [89Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄, with – 55% and – 25% for rMac and PBMC at day 7, respectively [17]. However, hDSC showed signs of cellular stress, while rMac showed a slight decrease in phagocytosis function.

In this study, we evaluated the short-term (up to 4 days) effects radiolabelling might have on rMac proliferation. For the [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells, radioactive retentions appeared stable from 24 h and no significant difference in cell count compared to controls after 4 days. Cells radiolabelled with [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS showed a significant decrease in cellular proliferation compared to controls (p=0.03) with no increase in cell count at day 4. The radioactive retention for both [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ and [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells showed an initial drop during the first 24 h. After which the radioactive loss appeared to stabilize for [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate), while [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS slowly continued to decrease until day 4. The loss in retention from [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS is similar to what was observed in our previous study; the drop in retention during the first 24 h is likely due to the loss of cells caused by the radiolabelling procedure. The drop in retention for [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ is likely due to leakage since there was no significant cell loss. Even though in the previous study the cell dose exceeded the recommended limit for risk of DNA damage, limited damage was detected after 7 days [17]. Here we corrected the radioactive dose between the radiotracers, due to the larger number of cells the dose $(MBq/10^6)$ to rMac was 1-2 times lower compared to hDSC. Henceforth, the only difference between the same cell line is the use of radiotracer; therefore, we can assume that neither the radioactive dose nor the labelling procedure is the cause of the accumulation in the lungs.

In this study, when comparing $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ and $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS, with both rMac and hDSC, we observed different migration patterns of the cells depending on the radiotracer used. Initially, both $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ and $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS show a rapid accumulation in the lungs. A large part of the signal from $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS labelled cells stay in the lung until day 7, while $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ labelled cells rapidly follow the expected pattern and continue migration to the liver [10, 14, 15, 19, 24].

The control rats injected with unbound $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ demonstrate a similar biodistribution pattern as the $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ labelled cells, with high uptake in the spleen and liver. This can complicate the confirmation of the cells' location without invasive biopsies. We see an almost identical signal in the heart compartment for radiolabelled hDSC compared to the controls with unlabelled $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄. As for the rMac, there is a somewhat prolonged signal in the heart which can be due to the sensitivity of rMac resulting in a slightly larger degree of cell death. It is therefore highly important that the cells are in good condition upon injection since damaged cells will be degraded and the radiotracers might redistribute and confound biodistribution analyses; all injected cells in this study showed $82\pm6.4\%$ viability upon injection. In the radioactive distribution in control rats that received [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells, we do not see a significant uptake in bone. This indicates that the efflux from dead or damaged cells is not in the form of unbound ⁸⁹Zr. It is more likely that the ⁸⁹Zr is still bound to oxine or conjugated to unspecific structures inside the cell, which is then transported to the liver and spleen instead of bone.

When comparing the controls injected with unbound hydrolysed [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS with the [89Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells, they have a different biodistribution. This indicates that it is the cells that have accumulated in the lungs and not just [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS released from the cell surface. Along with the results from the in vitro stability where $47 \pm 14\%$ of the radioactivity is still attached to the cells after 4 days, it is most likely that the majority of signals represent the cells' whereabouts in vivo. We do see a slightly faster lung clearance from rMac labelled with [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS compared to hDSC, and this could also be explained by a higher degree of cell death due to the sensitivity of rMac. Moreover, dead cells and cell fragments are known to be excreted through the spleen and liver. Since the signals in these organs are hardly detectable, it indicated that the majority of [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells are alive in the lungs. The heart signal from [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells shows a similar pattern as the [89Zr]Zr- $(oxinate)_{4}$ labelled cells. These data are based on the ROI of the whole heart, defined without the presence of CT which can result in measurement errors, especially with the proximity to the lungs which can entail a false positive measurement in the heart. Any free ⁸⁹Zr in the blood will rapidly accumulate in the bone; thus, the lack of bone uptake there is hardly any leakage of free ⁸⁹Zr. With the ⁸⁹Zr still bound to unspecific molecules and proteins, the majority of radioactive leakage from dying cells will end up in the liver, spleen and kidneys. The degree of radioactive leakage from [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells would explain why the whole-body retention is lower for rats receiving [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells compared to [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄. Both the loss in whole-body retention and the cellular efflux from radiolabelled rMac after 24 h is 50% higher for [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS compared to $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄.

When comparing the radioactive dosimetry from radiolabelled cells, the high accumulation of $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ in both the liver and spleen is compensated by the $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS high uptake in the lungs. What increases the effective dose for $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS is the high lung signal which also irradiates surrounding tissues. The proximity of the lungs to the spine, ribcage and shoulders, causes a substantial radioactive crossfire

from the lungs to the bone marrow. Although $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -(oxinate)₄ shows an overall higher %/IA/g uptake in the bone, the high signal from the lungs from $[^{89}Zr]Zr$ -DFO-NCS labelled cells increases irradiation of the bone marrow, henceforth the effective dose.

Although conflicting data have been reported, Basal et al. present similar data with high and prolonged uptake in the lungs, which corresponds to our findings [6]. Basal et.al. use a DFO-NCS concentration almost four times higher than in our study. Compared with our study, the only substantial difference between these reports is the concentration of DFO-NCS, while in another study by Lee et. al, they used roughly 800 times lower [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS concentration and they reported a rapid migration to the liver [15]. It is plausible that a high concentration of [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS substantially blocks and disrupts essential surface receptors on the cells. If these surface structures are needed for tissue interaction, this might therefore hinder the labelled cells to migrate from the lungs to the liver.

Attempts to lower the concentration and label cells have been proven problematic due to the high loss of [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS and substantial handling of radioactivity. Since it is difficult to synthesise a stock solution of [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS with the required concentration and with high specific activity, a larger batch of [89Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS is required. If we only take 2% of the [89Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS stock solution, we match Lee et al. concentrations of 8.0 pmol DFO-NCS per 5×10^6 cells. The alternative was to increase the number of cells which was not feasible due to the limited harvest per donor. Still, the conflicting reports on the [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells' behaviour in vivo prove the need for further studies. If this is to be a reliable labelling technique for long-term cell tracking in vivo, we have to ensure that the radiolabelled cells mimic the behaviour of the unlabelled cells set for therapy.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that cell labelling with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS may not be reliable or, at the least, consistent with existing data. There are several potential reasons for this multiplicity that causes cells to linger in the lungs, such as (1) extracellular labelling that interferes with cell migration, (2) the accumulation of radioactive cell fragments from dead cells, (3) although no visible evidence was observed in the microscope, cells lumping together due to stress or multiple radiotracer-cell-to-cell binding complexes, or (4) phagocytosis by lymphocytes in the lungs. Whatever reason, this prolonged accumulation in the lungs contributes to the high radiation dose per administered activity for [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS compared to [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)_a. Studies to investigate the reasons behind the conflicting behaviour of [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells are still ongoing. For now, our conclusion from these data is that [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ is more reliable and suitable for long-term cell tracking in vivo due to consistent radiosynthesis and stable cell labelling. The concerning limitation of [89Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ is the drop in ⁸⁹Zr retention during the first 24 h; however, studies on protocol optimizations are ongoing to minimize the tracer leakage. The slow clearance of any unbound [⁸⁹Zr] Zr-(oxinate)₄ can complicate analysis. This novel headto-head in vivo comparison of the two tracers with the same cell types has shed light on some limitations that have not yet been discussed as well as comparing the biodistribution of the injected radiolabelled cells with control rats receiving only unbound radiotracer, without cells. We are currently conducting studies on proving the exact location of the injected cells; hopefully, this will disclose the reason behind the dislocation of [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells.

Abbreviations

CLE	Cell Labelling Efficiency
CT	Computer Chromatography
3D	Three Dimensional
DFO	Deferoxamine
DFO-NCS	P-SCN-Bn-Deferoxamine
DMSO	Dimethyl Sulphoxide
DTPA	Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic Acid
FBS	Foetal Bovine Serum
hDSC	Decidual Stroma Cells
HPLC	High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography
%IA/g	Percentage of Injected Activity per gram tissue
iTLC	Instant Thin-Layer Chromatography
i.v	Intravenously
k/MBq	Kilo/Mega Becquerel
mGy	Milli-Gray
mSv	Milli-Sievert
Na ₂ CO ₃	Sodium Carbonate
NaOH	Sodium Acetate Buffer
Oxine or oxinate	8-Hydroxyquinoline
PET	Positron Emission Tomography
RCY	Radio Chemical Yield
rMac	Rat Macrophages
ROI	Region of Interest
RT	Room Temperature
SD	Standard Deviation
SPECT	Single-Photon Emission Computer Tomography
⁸⁹ Zr	Zirconium 89

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13550-023-01021-1.

Additional file 1. Materials and Methods for Production of Zirconium-89; Radiosynthesis of $[^{89}$ Zr]Zr-(oxalate)₄ and $[^{89}$ Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS; Radiolabelling of hDSC and rMac; and Dosimetry calculations.

Additional file 2. Statistical analysis of cell biodistribution, comparing the two radiotracers for each cell type. Statistical significance was evaluated with rm-ANOVA or t-test. A p-value of ≤ 0.5 was considered statistically significant and marked with* ≤ 0.05 or ** ≤ 0.01 .

Additional file 3. Statistical analysis of the biodistribution over time between [89 Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ labelled cells and unbound [89 Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄. Statistical significance was evaluated with rm-ANOVA or t-test. A p-value of ≤ 0.5 was considered statistically significant and marked with* ≤ 0.05 or ** ≤ 0.01 .

Additional file 4. Statistical analysis of the biodistribution over time between [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS labelled cells and unbound [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS. Statistical significance was evaluated with rm-ANOVA or t-test. A p-value of ≤ 0.5 was considered statistically significant and marked with* ≤ 0.05 or ** ≤ 0.01 .

Additional file 5. Biodistribution of decay-corrected radioactivity presented as % of the injected activity per gram tissue and standard deviation (%IA/g ±SD) in the region of interest (ROI) from micro-PET imaging. Rats received i.v injections with (1) [89 Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ or [89 Zr] Zr-DFO-NCS labelled hDSC (2) or rMac. The total whole-body activity is presented as decay-corrected % of the injected activity. Statistical analysis of the biodistribution over time between the different radiotracers was performed for each organ and was evaluated with rm-ANOVA. A p-value of \leq 0.5 was considered statistically significant and marked with* \leq 0.05 or ** \leq 0.01. P-values are shown in (Supplementary Table 1).

Additional file 6. Biodistribution of decay-corrected radioactivity presented as % of the injected activity per gram tissue and standard deviation (%IA/g ±5D) in the region of interest (ROI) from micro-PET imaging. Rats received i.v injections with [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-(oxinate)₄ or [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS without cells. Statistical analysis of the biodistribution between radiolabeled cells and controls was performed for each organ and evaluated with rm-ANOVA or t-test. A p-value of \leq 0.5 was considered statistically significant and marked with* \leq 0.05 or ** \leq 0.01. P-values are shown in (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3).

Acknowledgements

The Karolinska Radiopharmacy Core Facility (RCF) at Karolinska University Hospital and the core facility of Small Animal Molecular Imaging (SAMI) at Karolinska Institutet, are greatly acknowledged for providing infrastructure for this study. Authors thank associate professor Helen Kaipe (Dept of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet) DrJinming Hand and Professor Robert A. Harris (Dept of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet) for providing the cells for these studies.

Author contributions

IF, SH and TT conceptualized the study; IF, JN, JS, LL SM and TT helped in methodology; IF, JN, OA, ES developed the software; IF, JN were involved in formal analysis; IF, JN and TT investigated the study, curated the data and helped in writing—original draft preparation; SH and TT helped in resources; all authors contributed to writing—review and editing; IF, JN and JG visualized the study; SH, MC and TT supervised the study and acquired the funding; SH and TT administrated the project. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Open access funding provided by Karolinska Institute. This research was funded by the Söderberg Foundation, Swedish Research Council, The Swedish Cancer Society, The Swedish Society for Medical Research and Karolinska Institutet (CIMED).

Availability of data and materials

The data presented in this study are available on request to the corresponding authors.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, ARRIVE guidelines, and approved by the Ethics Committee of Stockholm, Sweden (N14/15 and amendment 14206-2017), N4/15, 4043-22, 2009/418-31/4, 2010/2061-32, 2015/1848-31/2, 9328-2019 N138/14.

Consent for publication

All participants consent to article publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ²Department of Medical Radiation Physics and Nuclear Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. ³Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁴Department of Oncology and Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁵Department of Radiopharmacy, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁶Center for Hematology and Regenerative Medicine (HERM), Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁷Centre for Cell Therapy and Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation (CAST), Karolinska Comprehensive Cancer Center, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁸Department of Neuroradiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

Received: 27 April 2023 Accepted: 18 July 2023 Published online: 08 August 2023

References

- Rosenberg SA. IL-2: the first effective immunotherapy for human cancer. J Immunol. 2014;192:5451–8. https://doi.org/10.4049/JIMMUNOL.1490019.
- Miller JS, Soignier Y, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, McNearney SA, Yun GH, Fautsch SK, McKenna D, Le C, Defor TE, Burns LJ, et al. Successful adoptive transfer and in vivo expansion of human haploidentical NK cells in patients with cancer. Blood. 2005;105:3051–7. https://doi.org/10.1182/ BLOOD-2004-07-2974.
- Neelapu SS, Locke FL, Bartlett NL, Lekakis LJ, Miklos DB, Jacobson CA, Braunschweig I, Oluwole OO, Siddiqi T, Lin Y, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel CAR T-cell therapy in refractory large B-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:2531. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMOA1707447.
- Barrett AJ, Battiwalla M. Relapse after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Expert Rev Hematol. 2010;3:429–41. https://doi.org/10.1586/EHM.10.32.
- Ho VT, Kim HT, Kao G, Cutler C, Levine J, Rosenblatt J, Joyce R, Antin JH, Soiffer RJ, Ritz J, et al. Sequential infusion of donor-derived dendritic cells with donor lymphocyte infusion for relapsed hematologic cancers after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Am J Hematol. 2014;89:1092–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/AJH.23825.
- Bansal A, Pandey MK, Demirhan YE, Nesbitt JJ, Crespo-Diaz RJ, Terzic A, Behfar A, DeGrado TR. Novel 89Zr cell labeling approach for PET-based cell trafficking studies. EJNMMI Res. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13550-015-0098-y.
- Rahmim A, Zaidi H. PET versus SPECT: strengths, limitations and challenges. Nucl Med Commun. 2008;29:193–207. https://doi.org/10.1097/ MNM.0B013E3282F3A515.
- Roca M, De Vries EFJ, Jamar F, Israel O, Signore A. Guidelines for the labelling of leucocytes with 1111n-oxine. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2010;37:835. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00259-010-1393-5.
- Kurebayashi Y, Choyke PL, Sato N. Imaging of cell-based therapy using 89Zr-oxine ex vivo cell labeling for positron emission tomography. Nanotheranostics. 2021;5:27. https://doi.org/10.7150/NTNO.51391.
- Weist MR, Starr R, Aguilar B, Chea J, Miles JK, Poku E, Gerdts E, Yang X, Priceman SJ, Forman SJ, et al. PET of adoptively transferred chimeric antigen receptor T cells with 89Zr-oxine. J Nucl Med. 2018;59:1531–7. https:// doi.org/10.2967/JNUMED.117.206714.
- Khan AA, Man F, Faruqu FN, Kim J, Al-Salemee F, Carrascal-Miniño A, Volpe A, Liam-Or R, Simpson P, Fruhwirth GO, et al. PET imaging of small extracellular vesicles via [89Zr]Zr(Oxinate)4 direct radiolabeling. Bioconjug Chem. 2022;33:473–85. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.BIOCONJCHEM. 1C00597/SUPPL_FILE/BC1C00597_Sl_001.PDF.
- Bansal A, Sharma S, Klasen B, Rösch F, Pandey MK. Evaluation of different 89Zr-labeled synthons for direct labeling and tracking of white blood cells and stem cells in healthy athymic mice. Sci Rep. 2022;12:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-19953-4.

- Lapi S, McConathy J, Jeffers C, Bartels J, Houson H, White S, Younger J. First-in-human imaging of 89Zr-oxine labelled autologous leukocytes in healthy volunteers. J Nucl Med. 2022;63:2447–2447.
- Wang S, Wang Y, Xu B, Qin T, Lv Y, Yan H, Shao Y, Fang Y, Zheng S, Qiu Y. Biodistribution of 89Zr-oxine-labeled human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells by micro-PET/computed tomography imaging in Sprague-Dawley rats. Nucl Med Commun. 2022;43:834–46. https://doi. org/10.1097/MNM.00000000001562.
- Lee SH, Soh H, Chung JH, Cho EH, Lee SJ, Ju JM, Sheen JH, Kim H, Oh SJ, Lee SJ, et al. Feasibility of real-time in vivo 89Zr-DFO-labeled CAR T-cell trafficking using PET imaging. PLoS ONE. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1371/ JOURNAL.PONE.0223814.
- Patrick PS, Kolluri KK, ZawThin M, Edwards A, Sage EK, Sanderson T, Weil BD, Dickson JC, Lythgoe MF, Lowdell M, et al. Lung delivery of MSCs expressing anti-cancer protein TRAIL visualised with 89Zr-oxine PET-CT. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2020;11:256. https://doi.org/10.1186/S13287-020-01770-Z/FIGURES/5.
- Friberger I, Jussing E, Han J, Goos JACM, Siikanen J, Kaipe H, Lambert M, Harris RA, Samén E, Carlsten M, et al. Optimisation of the synthesis and cell labelling conditions for [89Zr]Zr-Oxine and [89Zr]Zr-DFO-NCS: a direct in vitro comparison in cell types with distinct therapeutic applications. Mol Imaging Biol. 2021;23:952–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11307-021-01622-z.
- Erkers T, Nava S, Yosef J, Ringdén O, Kaipe H. Decidual stromal cells promote regulatory T cells and suppress alloreactivity in a cell contactdependent manner. Stem Cells Dev. 2013;22:2596–605. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/SCD.2013.0079.
- Arnberg F, Lundberg J, Olsson A, Samén E, Jaff N, Jussing E, Dahlén U, Nava S, Axelsson R, Ringdén O, et al. Intra-arterial administration of placenta-derived decidual stromal cells to the superior mesenteric artery in the rabbit: distribution of cells, feasibility, and safety. Cell Transplant. 2016;25:401–10. https://doi.org/10.3727/096368915X688191.
- Weischenfeldt J, Porse B. Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMM): isolation and applications. CSH Protoc. 2008. https://doi.org/10.1101/PDB. PROT5080.
- Raavé R, Sandker G, Adumeau P, Jacobsen CB, Mangin F, Meyer M, Moreau M, Bernhard C, Da Costa L, Dubois A, et al. Direct comparison of the in vitro and in vivo stability of DFO, DFO* and DFOcyclo* for 89Zr-ImmunoPET. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 1966;2019:46. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S00259-019-04343-2.
- Ardenfors O, Nilsson JN, Thor D, Hindorf C. Simplified dosimetry for kidneys and tumors in 177Lu-labeled peptide receptor radionuclide therapy. EJNMMI Phys. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1186/S40658-022-00473-Z.
- Roth D, Gustafsson J, Warfvinge CF, Sundlöv A, Åkesson A, Tennvall J, Gleisner KS. Dosimetric quantities in neuroendocrine tumors over treatment cycles with 177Lu-DOTATATE. J Nucl Med. 2022;63:399. https://doi. org/10.2967/JNUMED.121.262069.
- Erkers T, Kaipe H, Nava S, Molldén P, Gustafsson B, Axelsson R, Ringdén O. Treatment of severe chronic graft-versus-host disease with decidual stromal cells and tracing with (111)Indium radiolabeling. Stem Cells Dev. 2015;24:253–63. https://doi.org/10.1089/SCD.2014.0265.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.