Open Access



Evaluation of recurrence risk for patients with stage I invasive lung adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules based on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, imaging signs, and clinicopathological features

Xuan Zheng¹, Jie Lin², Jiageng Xie¹, Jia Jiang¹, Junping Lan¹, Xiaowei Ji², Kun Tang², Xiangwu Zheng^{1,2*} and Jinjin Liu^{1*}

Abstract

Background Stage I lung adenocarcinoma is a heterogeneous group. Previous studies have shown the prognostic evaluation value of PET/CT in this cohort; however, few studies focused on stage I invasive adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules. This study aimed to evaluate the recurrence risk for patients with stage I invasive lung adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules based on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, CT imaging signs, and clinicopathological parameters.

Methods We retrospectively enrolled 230 patients who underwent ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT examination between January 2013 and July 2019. Metabolic parameters: maximum standard uptake value (SUVmax), mean standard uptake value, tumor metabolic volume (MTV), and total tumor glucose digestion were collected. Kaplan–Meier method was used to evaluate recurrence-free survival (RFS), and the multivariate Cox proportional hazards model was used to determine the independent risk factors associated with RFS. The time-dependent receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) method was used to calculate the optimal cutoff value of metabolic parameters.

Results The 5-year RFS rate for all patients was 71.7%. Multivariate Cox analysis revealed that the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Pathology Committee (IASLC) pathologic grade 3 [Hazard ratio (HR), 3.96; 95% Confidence interval (CI), 1.11–14.09], the presence of cavity sign (HR 5.38; 95% CI 2.23–12.96), SUVmax (HR 1.23; 95% CI 1.13–1.33), and MTV (HR 1.05; 95% CI 1.01–1.08) were potential independent prognostic factors for RFS. Patients with IASLC grade 3, the presence of cavity sign, SUVmax > 3.9, or MTV > 5.4 cm³ were classified as high risk, while others were classified as low risk. There was a significant difference in RFS between the high-risk and low-risk groups (HR 6.04; 95% CI 2.17–16.82, P < 0.001), and the 5-year RFS rate was 94.1% for the low-risk group and 61.3% for the high-risk group.

Conclusions We successfully evaluate the recurrence risk of patients with stage I invasive adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules for the first time. The 5-year RFS rate in the high-risk group was significantly lower than in

*Correspondence: Xiangwu Zheng zxwu111@sina.com Jinjin Liu xtuljj@163.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

the low-risk group (61.3% vs. 94.1%). Our study may aid in optimizing therapeutic strategies and improving survival benefits for those patients.

Keywords Adenocarcinoma of lung, Positron emission computed tomography, Prognosis, Risk factors, Proportional hazards models

Introduction

Lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide [1, 2], of which non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common type. The recommended treatment for the operable NSCLC is lobectomy with systematic lymph node dissection; the tumor node metastasis (TNM) staging system is a valuable prognostic tool and guides treatment decisions for NSCLC [3, 4]. However, patients with stage I NSCLC may still develop recurrence [5]. 18-32% of these patients died within five years after surgery, most of them due to recurrent, and the 5-year recurrence-free survival (RFS) rates were 84.3% for stage IA and 65.8% for stage IB [3]. Therefore, stage I NSCLC is a heterogeneous group. TNM staging alone may not be sufficient to predict the recurrence risk of early-stage NSCLC, and additional prognostic biomarkers are required.

With the development of high-resolution CT and the widespread use of low-dose CT screening for tumor screening, early-stage lung cancer has frequently been detected, especially small-sized lung adenocarcinoma. Lung adenocarcinoma is the primary subtype of NSCLC, accounting for approximately 70% of all NSCLC cases. Solid nodules are associated with a higher risk of recurrence than nonsolid and part-solid types [6]. Screening patients at high risk of recurrence is significant since further individualized treatment, such as adjuvant chemotherapy, might improve survival in those patients [7]. For solid nodules ≥ 8 mm on initial screening low-dose CT, PET/CT is recommended for lung cancer screening [8]. Previous studies [9–11] have confirmed the prognostic value of PET/CT parameters for NSCLC patients, such as the maximal standardized uptake value (SUVmax), metabolic tumor volume (MTV), and total lesion glycolysis (TLG), and further calculated the optimal cutoff values of these PET/CT parameters. However, different optimal cutoff values of these metabolic parameters have been reported. To our knowledge, the prognostic value of PET/ CT combined with imaging signs and clinicopathological parameters to stratify patients at a high risk of recurrence for stage I invasive lung adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules of the lung has rarely been reported.

This study aimed to evaluate the postoperative recurrence risk for patients with solid lung nodules in stage I invasive adenocarcinoma based on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, CT imaging signs, and clinicopathological parameters. These patients at high risk of recurrence would be candidates for adjuvant therapy and tailored surveillance regimens.

Materials and methods

Patients

Our institutional review board approved this study, waiving the written informed consent requirement. We retrospectively reviewed medical records from the PACS system to identify patients with pathologic stage I (i.e., T1-2aN0M0) invasive nonmucinous adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid lung nodules between January 2013 and July 2019. All the included patients underwent ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scans within one month before surgical resection and had complete follow-up records. We excluded patients diagnosed with other malignant tumors and those treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiation before surgery. Patients with missing preoperative images or survival data were also excluded from this study.

We collected patients' demographic, clinicopathological, and recurrence data. CT imaging signs included lobulation, speculation, cavity, air bronchogram, vessel convergence, and pleural indentation. The cavity sign represents air space within a primary tumor, excluding tumors with traction bronchiectasis and lung cysts [12]. Two experienced radiologists, blinded to the patient's diagnosis and treatment information, independently evaluated these CT imaging signs; the discrepancy between them was resolved by discussion until reaching a consensus. PET metabolic parameters included SUVmax, MTV, TLG, and the mean standardized uptake value (SUVmean). The endpoint of this study was RFS [13], which was defined as the period from surgical resection to the occurrence of recurrence or death from any cause. RFS was censored at the last visit for those alive and recurrence-free. Recurrence was defined by where it occurs, including local, regional, and distant recurrence.

Pathologic diagnosis and grading criteria

Pathologic TNM staging was determined according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM Staging Manual, 8th Edition [14]. Among stage I tumors, the following tumor-size groups were created: T1a, \leq 1 cm; T1b, >1 to 2 cm; T1c, >2 to 3 cm; and T2a, >3 to 4 cm. Pathologic grading was evaluated using the grading system for invasive pulmonary adenocarcinoma based on the predominant histologic plus high-grade patterns from the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Pathology Committee (IASLC) [15]. The new detailed definition of IASLC grading was as follows: grade 1, well-differentiated, lepidic dominant with no or less than 20% of high-grade patterns; grade 2, moderately differentiated, acinar or papillary predominant with no or less than 20% of high-grade patterns; and grade 3, poorly differentiated, any tumor with 20% or more high-grade patterns. High-grade patterns included solid, micropapillary, and complex glandular patterns.

FDG PET/CT imaging

The FDG PET/CT imaging protocol followed the EANM procedure guidelines [16]. All patients were required to have a fasting period of at least 6 h and a blood glucose level below 110 mg/dl before administration of ¹⁸F-FDG. PET/CT images were acquired 60 min after intravenous injection of 3.7 MBg/kg dose of ¹⁸F-FDG using a hybrid PET/CT scanner (GEMINI TF 64, Philips, Netherlands). A nonenhanced CT scan was performed from the skull base to the middle of the thigh for precise anatomical location and attenuation correction, and the corresponding scanning parameters were as follows: 120 kVp, 80 mAs, pitch of 0.829, tube rotation time of 0.5 s per rotation, and both slice thickness and interval of 5.0 mm. A 3-dimensional mode PET scan, which matched the CT slice thickness, was then performed. PET datasets were iteratively reconstructed using the ordered subset expectation maximization algorithm.

Two experienced nuclear medicine physicians analyzed all PET, CT, and PET/CT fusion images using Philips Extend Brilliance Workstation 3.0. SUVmax was determined as the highest voxel value within the VOI. We delineated the volume of interest (i.e., tumor region) using the SUV threshold method. MTV represents the sum of voxels with SUV no less than 40% of the SUVmax. SUVmean represented the mean SUV in the VOI. TLG was the product of MTV and SUVmean.

Statistical analysis

We performed statistical analyses using the software SPSS (version 22.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). Continuous variables were presented as the mean value \pm standard deviation, and categorical variables as the frequency (percentage). Kaplan–Meier method was used to determine RFS, and a log-rank test was applied to evaluate the difference of RFS between groups. The univariable Cox proportional hazard model was used to examine the significance of the clinicopathological

features and imaging findings as predictors of RFS. Variables with P < 0.05 were used in the multivariable Cox proportional hazard model with the stepwise selection method, which was used to identify independent prognostic factors of RFS. The time-dependent receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) [17] was used to estimate the optimal cutoff values of continuous predictors by using the software package survivalROC in the R programming environment (version 3.6.1). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results

We enrolled 230 patients in this study. Table 1 illustrates the baseline characteristics of these patients. The median follow-up time after surgical resection was 35.5 months. The mean age was 62.7 ± 9.7 years old, and 111 (48.3%) were men. The mean tumor size was 20.9 ± 8.0 mm. The number of patients was 19 (8.3%) in stage T1a, 111 (48.3%) in T1b, 66 (28.7%) in T1c, and 34 (14.8%) in T2a. There were 29 (12.6%), 177 (77.0%), and 24 (10.4%) patients with proposed IASLC grades 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Figure 1 shows the Kaplan– Meier analysis for all patients. The 5-year RFS rate for all patients was 71.7%.

Table 2 shows the univariable and multivariable Cox analyses for 5-year RFS. In univariate analyses, IASLC grading, tumor size, T stage, the presence of cavity sign, SUVmax, SUVmean, MTV, and TLG were significant predictors of RFS. In multivariate Cox analyses, IASLC grade 3 (hazard ratio [HR], 3.96; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.11–14.09), the presence of cavity (HR 5.38; 95% CI 2.23–12.96), SUVmax (HR 1.23; 95% CI 1.13–1.33), and MTV (HR 1.05; 95% CI 1.01–1.08) were identified as independent prognostic factors for RFS.

Figure 2 illustrates the time-dependent ROC curves of SUVmax and MTV for survival prediction. Areas under ROC curves were 0.807 and 0.608 for SUVmax and MTV, respectively. The optimal cutoff values were determined to be 3.9 for SUVmax and 5.4 cm³ for MTV based on ROC analysis, which implied that patients with SUVmax > 3.9 or MTV > 5.4 cm^3 were at high risk for recurrence. We defined the high-risk group for recurrence as those with IASLC grade 3, the presence of cavity sign, SUVmax>3.9, or MTV>5.4 cm³. Figure 3 shows the Kaplan-Meier analysis between the high- and low-risk groups. The RFS significantly differed between the low- and high-risk groups (P < 0.001), and the 5-year RFS rates were 94.1% and 61.3% for the low- and high-risk groups, respectively. In the subgroup analyses, RFS rates were all significantly different between the groups of IASLC grade=3 and IASLC grade < 3 (Fig. 4a), with and without cavity sign

Table 1 Patient characteristics (n = 230)

Variables	Value
Age (year)	62.7±9.7
Male	111 (48.3%)
Smoking (yes)	69 (30.0%)
Hypertension (yes)	87 (37.8%)
Diabetes mellitus (yes)	27 (11.7%)
Lesion location	
Right upper lobe	66 (28.7%)
Right middle lobe	27 (7.4%)
Right lower lobe	52 (22.6%)
Left upper lobe	59 (25.7%)
Left lower lobe	36 (15.7%)
T stage	
T1a	19 (8.3%)
T1b	111 (48.3%)
T1c	66 (28.7%)
T2a	34 (14.8%)
IASLC grading	
Grade 1	29 (12.6%)
Grade 2	177 (77.0%)
Grade 3	24 (10.4%)
Imaging sign	
Lobulation (yes)	95 (41.3%)
Spiculation (yes)	55 (23.9%)
Cavity (yes)	8 (3.5%)
Air bronchogram (yes)	22 (9.6%)
Vessel convergence (yes)	10 (4.3%)
Pleural indentation (yes)	90 (39.1%)
Tumor size (mm)	20.9 ± 8.0
CEA (µg/L)	4.6 ± 7.0
CYFRA21-1 (ng/ml)	2.6 ± 1.3
SCCA (µg/L)	0.9±0.6
NSE (ng/ml)	12.8 ± 3.4
ProGRP (ng/L)	49.6 ± 25.0
Albumin (g/L)	40.3 ± 4.4
White blood cell (X10 ⁹ /L)	5.78 <u>+</u> 1.73
Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio	2.06±1.10
Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio	0.27±0.11
SUVmax	4.7 ± 3.0
SUVmean	2.8 ± 1.8
MTV (cm ³)	6.2±5.9
TLG	18.7 ± 24.9

IASLC International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Pathology Committee, CEA Carcinoembryonic antigen, CYFRA21-1 fragment of cytokeratin 19, NSE Neuron Specific Enolase, SCCA squamous cell carcinoma antigen, ProGRP Progastrin-releasing peptide, SUVmax maximum standard uptake value, SUVmean mean standard uptake value, MTV metabolic tumor volume, TLG total lesion glycolysis

(Fig. 4b), SUVmax > 3.9 and SUVmax \leq 3.9 (Fig. 4c), and MTV > 5.4 cm³ and MTV \leq 5.4 cm³ (Fig. 4d).



Fig. 1 Kaplan–Meier analysis for all patients

Discussion

This study found that SUVmax, MTV, IASLC grade, and cavity sign were independent prognostic factors of recurrence for patients with stage I invasive lung adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules. Based on these independent prognostic factors, we identified patients at high risk of recurrence and found that the 5-year RFS rates were 94.1% for the low-risk group and 61.3% for the high-risk group.

Stage I lung adenocarcinoma is a heterogeneous group, and patients with stage I adenocarcinoma still have the risk of recurrence, especially for solid nodules with invasive adenocarcinoma, which have not been well documented. PET/CT is recommended for lung cancer screening for solid nodules greater than 8 mm in the new lung screening guidance [8]. Tumor metabolic parameters have been used to predict the recurrence risk in early-stage NSCLC. Different cutoff values of SUVmax have been proposed, such as 2.73 [18], 5.5 [19], 5.2 [20], and 4.93 [11]. This difference mainly contributes to the definitions of early-stage NSCLC and pathologic types. We focused on the solid nodules in pathologic stage I invasive adenocarcinoma, a subtype of NSCLC at high risk of recurrence, which has rarely been reported. The methods to determine the optimal cutoff values of SUVmax may also significantly influence the cutoff values of SUVmax. Previous studies [11, 18-20] used the median value or conventional ROC methods to determine the optimal cutoff values of SUVmax. It is well known that lung cancer outcome is time dependent; therefore, ROC curves varying as a function of time may be more appropriate to determine the cutoff values of continuous variables compared with the conventional ROC method [17]. In this study, we applied the time-dependent ROC

Variable	Univariable analysis			Multivariable analysis		
	HR	95% Cl	Р	HR	95% Cl	Р
Age (years)	0.997	0.968-1.028	0.861			
Male	1.051	0.595-1.857	0.863			
Smoking						
Hypertension	1.468	0.831-2.593	0.186			
Diabetes	1.237	0.555-2.758	0.603			
IASLC grading			< 0.001			< 0.001
Grade 1 (Ref.)						
Grade 2	1.556	0.547-4.427	0.407	1.265	0.393-4.077	0.694
Grade 3	7.083	2.321-21.655	0.001	3.957	1.111-14.092	0.034
Tumor size	1.082	1.046-1.120	< 0.001			
T stage	2.221	1.589-3.102	< 0.001			
Lobulation	1.274	0.723-2.246	0.402			
Spiculation	0.813	0.405-1.632	0.560			
Cavity sign	4.912	2.087-11.563	< 0.001	5.381	2.234-12.961	< 0.001
Air bronchogram	0.609	0.189-1.961	0.406			
Vessel convergence	0.424	0.058-3.072	0.395			
Pleural indentation	1.173	0.663-2.075	0.584			
SUVmax	1.247	1.163-1.336	< 0.001	1.225	1.133-1.326	< 0.001
SUVmean	1.412	1.266-1.574	< 0.001			
MTV	1.037	1.010-1.065	0.006	1.045	1.009-1.082	0.045
TLG	1.018	1.011-1.024	< 0.001			

		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	· · ·	c	
lable 2	Univariate and	multivariate	analysis for	recurrence-free	surviva

SUVmax maximum standard uptake value, SUVmean mean standard uptake value, MTV metabolic tumor volume, TLG total lesion glycolysis



Fig. 2 Time-dependent receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC) of maximal standardized uptake value (SUVmax) and metabolic tumor volume (MTV) for survival prediction



method to calculate the optimal cutoff value of metabolic parameters and found cutoff values of 3.9 for SUVmax and 5.4 cm³ for MTV. In addition, different tumor delineation methods have been used to calculate MTV, including 50%SUVmax, SUV2.5, 40%SUVmax, 42%SUVmax, and mediastinal background SUVmean plus two standard deviations. We adopted the 40%SUVmax method to calculate MTV, which has shown to be effective in our previous studies [21, 22] and other studies [23, 24].

Fig. 3 Kaplan–Meier analysis between the high- and low-risk groups. The high-risk group for recurrence was defined as those with IASLC grade 3, the presence of cavity sign, SUV > 3.9, or MTV > 5.4 cm³. The 5-year RFS rates were 94.1% and 61.3% for the low- and high-risk groups, respectively

The IASLC proposed a new grading system for invasive lung adenocarcinoma based on the histologic criteria associated with prognosis [15]. Kagimoto et al. [25]



Fig. 4 Recurrence-free survival (RFS) based on the a International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer Pathology Committee (IASLC) grade, b cavity sign, c maximal standardized uptake value (SUVmax), and d metabolic tumor volume (MTV)

then validated the new IASLC grading system. They found that RFS was well stratified by the new grading system among patients with pathologic stage 0 or I but not among patients with stage II or III. We confirmed the significance of the IASLC grading system in prognostic stratification of stage I invasive adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules. We also found that RFS was statistically different between the groups of IASLC grade = 3 and IASLC grade < 3.

We investigated the association between six CT imaging signs and prognosis and found that only the cavity sign was a significant and independent predictor of RFS. Patients with cavity sign were at high risk of recurrence. Tomizawa et al. [12] found that cavity sign was an independent factor for poor prognosis in patients with stage I–IIA primary lung cancer. Zhou et al. [26] reported that the thick-wall cavity could predict worse progression-free survival in lung adenocarcinoma treated with first-line EGFR-TKIs. Chen et al. [27] found cavity formation was a prognostic indicator for pathologic stage I invasive lung adenocarcinoma of \geq 3 cm in size. Watanabe et al. [28] observed that the overall survival and RFS were significantly shorter in patients with cavitary adenocarcinoma than noncavitary adenocarcinoma, and the cavity formation was an independent prognostic factor in adenocarcinoma. Therefore, the cavity sign may be associated with a poor prognosis for lung cancer. The possible mechanism of the tumor cavity is due to tumor ischemia, infection, and necrosis. Because of the rapid growth of tumor cells, lung tumors could not receive sufficient blood supply, resulting in necrosis of tumor cells, and then tumor cavitation might eventually appear [29]. A larger tumor was more likely to be ischemia, leading to the occurrence of tumor cavity. In addition, previous studies [12, 30] reported that the SUVmax value on FDG PET for lung cancer with

tumor cavity was significantly higher than those without tumor cavity. Lung cancers with tumor cavity might have a higher risk of malignancies than those without. Given the complexity of the disease and the challenges, the European Cancer Organization Essential Requirements for Quality Cancer Care (ERQCC) expert group [31] proposed the essential requirements for establishing a high-quality lung cancer service. Although the essential requirements could not be applied to all countries, it was urged that access to multidisciplinary teams and specialized treatment was guaranteed to all patients with lung cancer. Our study may aid in optimizing therapeutic strategies during multidisciplinary discussions and improving survival benefits for those patients.

This study had several limitations. First, this was a retrospective and single-institutional study; further external validation of this study in a large independent population was required. Second, some patients might lose follow-up because of the inherent nature of retrospective studies. Third, PET/CT examination was recommended for solid lung nodules ≥ 8 mm on initial screening [8]; however, many hospitals might not be equipped with PET/CT. Therefore, our recurrence risk evaluation criteria could apply to the patients from the hospitals without PET/CT. Finally, the patient population included was early-stage solid nodules ranging from T1 to T2a, and this cohort was heterogeneous.

In conclusion, we successfully stratified the recurrence risk for patients with stage I invasive lung adenocarcinoma manifesting as solid nodules based on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, CT imaging signs, and clinicopathological parameters for the first time. Patients with new IASLC grade 3, the presence of cavity sign, SUVmax > 3.9, or MTV > 5.4 cm³ were at high risk of recurrence. The 5-year RFS rate in the high-risk group was significantly lower than in the low-risk group (61.3% vs. 94.1%). Our study may help clinicians improve survival benefits and optimize therapeutic strategies for those patients.

Abbreviations

CI	Confidence interval
HR	Hazard ratio
MTV	Metabolic tumor volume
NSCLC	Non-small cell lung cancer
RFS	Recurrence-free survival
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic curve
SUVmax	Maximum standardized uptake value
SUVmean	Mean standardized uptake value
TLG	Total lesion glycolysis
TNM	Tumor node metastasis

Acknowledgements

None.

Author contributions

JJL and XWZ contributed to the conception, design, and funding acquirement; XZ, JJL, JL, JGX, JJ, JPL, XWJ, and KT contributed to data acquisition. JJL and JL analyzed the data. JJL wrote the manuscript. JJL, XWZ, JL, XZ, JGX, JJ, JPL, and XWJ contributed to the manuscript's review and/or revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Beijing Kangmeng Charity Foundation (B21199EN) and the Key Laboratory of Intelligent Medical Imaging of Wenzhou (2021HZSY0057).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We certify that the study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This study was approved by the ethical committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, and written informed consent was waived due to the retrospective design.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Radiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325000, Zhejiang, China. ²Department of Nuclear Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325000, Zhejiang, China.

Received: 29 March 2023 Accepted: 10 May 2023 Published online: 01 June 2023

References

- Kocarnik JM, Compton K, Dean FE, Fu W, Gaw BL, Harvey JD, et al. Cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life years for 29 cancer groups from 2010 to 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. JAMA Oncol. 2022;8(3):420–44.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. CA Cancer J Clin. 2022;72(1):7–33.
- Okami J, Shintani Y, Okumura M, Ito H, Ohtsuka T, Toyooka S, et al. Demographics, safety and quality, and prognostic information in both the seventh and eighth editions of the TNM Classification in 18,973 surgical cases of the Japanese Joint Committee of Lung Cancer Registry Database in 2010. J Thorac Oncol. 2019;14(2):212–22.
- Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Eberhardt WE, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for revision of the TNM Stage Groupings in the Forthcoming (Eighth) Edition of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2016;11(1):39–51.
- Zeng Y, Mayne N, Yang CJ, Liang W, He J. ASO author reflections: TNM 8th Edition: stage I non-small cell lung cancer-free from recurrence and adjuvant therapy? How to predict? Ann Surg Oncol. 2019;26(Suppl 3):745–6.
- Ettinger DS, Wood DE, Aisner DL, Akerley W, Bauman JR, Bharat A, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: non-small cell lung cancer, Version 2.2021. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2021;19(3):254–66.
- Tsutani Y, Imai K, Ito H, Miyata Y, Ikeda N, Nakayama H, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for high-risk pathologic stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg. 2022;113(5):1608–16.

- Wood DE, Kazerooni EA, Aberle D, Berman A, Brown LM, Eapen GA, et al. NCCN Guidelines[®]Insights: lung cancer screening, Version 1.2022. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2022;20(7):754–64.
- Shi A, Wang J, Wang Y, Guo G, Fan C, Liu J. Predictive value of multiple metabolic and heterogeneity parameters of (18)F-FDG PET/ CT for EGFR mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Nucl Med. 2022;36(4):393–400.
- Kajiyama A, Ito K, Watanabe H, Mizumura S, Watanabe S-I, Yatabe Y, et al. Consistency and prognostic value of preoperative staging and postoperative pathological staging using (18)F-FDG PET/MRI in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Nucl Med. 2022;36(12):1059–72.
- Blumenthaler AN, Hofstetter WL, Mehran RJ, Rajaram R, Rice DC, Roth JA, et al. Preoperative maximum standardized uptake value associated with recurrence risk in early lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg. 2022;113(6):1835–44.
- Tomizawa K, Shimizu S, Ohara S, Fujino T, Nishino M, Sesumi Y, et al. Clinical significance of tumor cavitation in surgically resected early-stage primary lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2017;112:57–61.
- Tsutani Y, Suzuki K, Koike T, Wakabayashi M, Mizutani T, Aokage K, et al. High-risk factors for recurrence of stage I lung adenocarcinoma: followup data from JCOG0201. Ann Thorac Surg. 2019;108(5):1484–90.
- Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Travis WD, Rusch VW. Lung cancer—major changes in the American Joint Committee on Cancer eighth edition cancer staging manual. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(2):138–55.
- Moreira AL, Ocampo PSS, Xia Y, Zhong H, Russell PA, Minami Y, et al. A grading system for invasive pulmonary adenocarcinoma: a proposal from the international association for the study of Lung Cancer Pathology Committee. J Thorac Oncol. 2020;15(10):1599–610.
- Boellaard R, Delgado-Bolton R, Oyen WJG, Giammarile F, Tatsch K, Eschner W, et al. FDG PET/CT: EANM procedure guidelines for tumour imaging: version 2.0. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2015;42(2):328–54.
- Heagerty PJ, Lumley T, Pepe MS. Time-dependent ROC curves for censored survival data and a diagnostic marker. Biometrics. 2000;56(2):337–44.
- Melloni G, Gajate AM, Sestini S, Gallivanone F, Bandiera A, Landoni C, et al. New positron emission tomography derived parameters as predictive factors for recurrence in resected stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2013;39(11):1254–61.
- Goodgame B, Pillot GA, Yang Z, Shriki J, Meyers BF, Zoole J, et al. Prognostic value of preoperative positron emission tomography in resected stage I non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2008;3(2):130–4.
- Um SW, Kim H, Koh WJ, Suh GY, Chung MP, Kwon OJ, et al. Prognostic value of 18F-FDG uptake on positron emission tomography in patients with pathologic stage I non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2009;4(11):1331–6.
- Ouyang ML, Tang K, Xu MM, Lin J, Li TC, Zheng XW. Prediction of occult lymph node metastasis using tumor-to-blood standardized uptake ratio and metabolic parameters in clinical N0 lung adenocarcinoma. Clin Nucl Med. 2018;43(10):715–20.
- Ouyang ML, Xia HW, Xu MM, Lin J, Wang LL, Zheng XW, et al. Prediction of occult lymph node metastasis using SUV, volumetric parameters and intratumoral heterogeneity of the primary tumor in T1–2N0M0 lung cancer patients staged by PET/CT. Ann Nucl Med. 2019;33(9):671–80.
- Caroli P, De Giorgi U, Scarpi E, Fantini L, Moretti A, Galassi R, et al. Prognostic value of 18F–choline PET/CT metabolic parameters in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with abiraterone or enzalutamide. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2018;45(3):348–54.
- Arshad MA, Thornton A, Lu H, Tam H, Wallitt K, Rodgers N, et al. Discovery of pre-therapy 2-deoxy-2-(18)F-fluoro-D-glucose positron emission tomography-based radiomics classifiers of survival outcome in non-small-cell lung cancer patients. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2019;46(2):455–66.
- Kagimoto A, Tsutani Y, Kambara T, Handa Y, Kumada T, Mimae T, et al. Utility of newly proposed grading system from international association for the study of lung cancer for invasive lung adenocarcinoma. JTO Clin Res Rep. 2021;2(2): 100126.
- Zhou F, Ma W, Li W, Ni H, Gao G, Chen X, et al. Thick-wall cavity predicts worse progression-free survival in lung adenocarcinoma treated with first-line EGFR-TKIs. BMC Cancer. 2018;18(1):1033.

- 27. Chen C, Fu S, Ni Q, Yiyang W, Pan X, Jing J, et al. Cavity formation is a prognostic indicator for pathologic stage I invasive lung adenocarcinoma of ≥3 cm in size. Med Sci Monit. 2019;25:9003–11.
- Watanabe Y, Kusumoto M, Yoshida A, Suzuki K, Asamura H, Tsuta K. Surgically resected solitary cavitary lung adenocarcinoma: association between clinical, pathologic, and radiologic findings and prognosis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2015;99(3):968–74.
- Wang M, Zhao J, Pan Y, Su YJ, You J, Zhao XL, et al. Do tumor cavitation and sex in resected stage I non-small-cell lung cancer correlate with prognosis? World J Surg. 2009;33(3):497–504.
- Nguyen NC, Abhishek K, Nyon S, Farghaly HR, Osman MM, Reimers HJ. Are there radiographic, metabolic, and prognostic differences between cavitary and noncavitary nonsmall cell lung carcinoma? A retrospective fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography study. Ann Thorac Med. 2016;11(1):49–54.
- Berghmans T, Lievens Y, Aapro M, Baird A-M, Beishon M, Calabrese F, et al. European Cancer Organisation Essential Requirements for Quality Cancer Care (ERQCC): lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2020;150:221–39.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com